## AGENDA

REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING

## FEBRUARY 13, 2019

1:30 P.M.

1. Open Meeting
2. Approve Agenda
3. Approve Minutes

February 6, 2019 Regular Drainage Meeting
Documents:
2_6_2019 - DRAINAGE MINUTES.PDF
4. DD 52 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Updates To Project
5. DD 56 - Acknowledge Receipt Of Engineer's Report And Set Hearing Date Documents:

DD 566830.1 - ENGINEERS REPORT.PDF
6. DD 86 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Repair Summary For Work Order \#172 Documents

DD 86 WO 172 6789.1 REPAIR SUMMARY_2019_02_01.PDF
7. DD 148 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Current Classification Documents:

DD 148 PARCEL DRAINAGE.PDF
8. Other Business
9. Adjourn Meeting

# REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING 

2/6/2019 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Board of Supervisors Chairperson, Renee McClellan, opened the meeting. Also present were Supervisors, Lance Granzow and BJ Hoffman; Matt Mahler and Dan McGinnis with Iowa Regional Utilities Association (IRUA); Lee Gallentine with Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); Drainage Clerk, Tina Schlemme.
2. Approve Agenda

Granzow moved, Hoffman seconded to approve the agenda as presented. All ayes. Motion carried.
3. Approve Minutes

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to approve the minutes of the January 16, 2019 regular drainage meeting, the January 16, 2019 DD 148 hearing on repairs, the January 23, 2019 regular drainage meeting and the January 23, 2019 DD 55-3 Lat 9 landowner meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.
4. Discuss, With Possible Action, IRUA Utility Permits

Mahler presented GPS coordinates of the drainage tile, maps and any needed variance requests for each outstanding utility permit. Gallentine added that depths of the tile and the utility would need to be added. Mahler explained that he a licensed engineer and would like to perform the necessary processes for future permits and submit them in the same package format. IRUA feels this will satisfy the drainage district concern of getting all the needed information in a quality format and also IRUA's concern of keeping costs down. Mahler presented a check to Hardin County for the amount owed to CGA minus finance charges and explained that if the Trustees agreed to the procedures, then they can cash the check and pay CGA. Granzow stated he did not like the tactic of getting paid only if the agreement was accepted, but did like the new processes and packet. The Trustees agreed that CGA should look at the information presented and provide an opinion if it covers all the needed information in two weeks. When asked by Hoffman, Gallentine estimated up to 5 hours of review, to which the Trustees agreed would be paid for by the Board of Supervisors budget. The Trustees added they would like Gallentine to work with IRUA with any concerns as they are found so a finished product can be submitted in two weeks.
5. DD 1 - Legal Opinion Regarding Engineering Fees

Schlemme updated the Trustees that she received a legal opinion from Mike Richards that stated all costs, including engineering fees, should be paid for from the secondary roads funds. Schlemme will invoice the Hardin County Engineering Office to reimburse the district fund for the CGA invoice that was previously paid. The Trustees agreed that for this project, all engineering fees should be paid for by secondary roads.
6. DD 25 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Repair Summary For Work Order \#226

Gallentine updated the Trustees that Williams Excavation removed trees and stumps and replaced approximately 160 feet of tile. They recommend to verify the contractor removed the tree debris from the site and that the stumps were treated. The Trustees agreed for Schlemme to contact Williams to verify the debris removal.
7. DD 72 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Repair Summary For Work Order \#213

Gallentine updated the Trustees that Williams Excavation replaced approximately 14 feet of Lateral 2 tile. The tile is shallow and does not appear to be in good overall condition. CGA recommends if additional sinkholes/blowouts are reported, the replacement of the entire Lateral 2 tile, which is not very long, should be considered. It was discussed that signs stating "Shallow Tile - No Equipment Crossing" would be beneficial, paid for by the drainage district. The Trustees agreed for Gallentine to research sign types and let Schlemme know before she contacts John Tjarks with the DOT.
8. DD H-F 1 - Discuss, With Possible Action, Updates To Work Order \#238

Gallentine updated that Trustees that contractor, Adam Seward, suggested that the repair site north of lowa Falls be mowed once to twice a year to manage future tree growth. The Trustees agreed they understood where Seward was coming from, but it would be best to just spray the area with no mowing. Schlemme is to contact Seward and inform him of the decision.

## 9. Other Business

Drainage District Signs - It was discussed that it would save time and money going forward if tile location signs were posted during projects. It was discussed that a possible triangular post cover with steel post would be a good sign with stickers showing "Drainage District Tile". Stickers with the drainage district number could be placed on the sign for customization. It was also discussed that CGA could include this item in with the bid items. It was added that the sign locates, as issued, could be added as a layer under drainage in GIS. Schlemme will discuss the signs with John Tjarks with the DOT.

DD 26 Lat 4 - Schlemme updated the Trustees that she had just received a couple options from Heather Thomas for verifying the tile is flowing. Thomas stated the options as: \#1. Hire a contractor to dig up the clay line at or just downstream of the wet spot and confirm clay line is operating. CGA has a 100' camera they could run upstream to verify the shape/operation. \#2. Run the 100' camera from the intake located about 200' upstream of the wet spot. The intake is too small for larger televising cameras to access. This method wouldn't get to the wet spot, but does confirm if the clay line is operating upstream of the wet spot. Thomas prefers option \#1 as it give the best investigation, but does come with a small cost. The Trustees agreed it was probably the best method to ensure the tile status to the landowner with concerns. Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded for CGA to move forward with option \#1 per the lottery system. All ayes. Motion carried.

DD 52 - Schlemme updated the Trustees that Mike Richards has decided to file the petition with the County Auditor but was wanting a legal description of the district. Schlemme informed them that no such legal exists and is waiting to hear back from the attorney.

DD 55-3 Lat 12 - Schlemme updated the Trustees that she is still waiting to receive the go ahead from the railroad. They had requested history of the district, in which Schlemme replied that the Code of lowa states approval is not needed for repairs under $\$ 50,000$ and they notified them as a courtesy. The railroad official stated she is new to the Iowa territory and is reviewing the Code.

DD 148 - Gallentine updated the Trustees that there is a private 48" culvert crossing that is one foot higher than the design elevation. The Trustees agreed that it should be the landowner's responsibility to correct. They stated Schlemme is to research the district to see if the landowner received a permit to install. If not, she is to contact the landowner to find out if they are even still using the culvert and inform them that the intake will be removed during the project and they will need to re-install at the correct design elevation, if desired, at their cost.

It was discussed that the next week's regular drainage meeting will be set for 1:30 p.m., after the 1:00 bid letting.
10. Adjourn Meeting

Hoffman moved, Granzow seconded to adjourn the meeting.
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# Engineer's Report on IImprovements to Main Tile, Drainage District No. 56 Hardin County, Iowa 

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

- SCOPE OF WORK - The Hardin County Board of Supervisors, acting as District Trustees, requested Clapsaddle-Garber Associates to investigate and report concerning improvements to the Main tile of Drainage District No. 56. This report will summarize the history of repairs, investigate the necessity and feasibility of said improvements, and present opinions of probable construction costs associated with said improvements. At the Landowner's Meeting held on March 28, 2018, Work Order \#204 was discussed and reviewed by the District Trustees. For reference, a copy of the meeting minutes is included in Appendix L and a copy of Work Order \#204 is included in Appendix M. As a result of this meeting, the District Trustees requested Clapsaddle-Garber Associates to move ahead with an investigation and report concerning improvements to the Main tile.
- LOCATION - The area of investigation was the entire length of the Main tile. Said Main tile is located in Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 17, Township 87 North (T87N), Range 22 West (R22W), Hardin County, Iowa. Specifically, the downstream limit of investigation is in Section 1 where the Main tile outlets into the Main Open Ditch a few hundred feet north of $230^{\text {th }}$ Street at approximately $1 / 2$ mile east of G Avenue. Going upstream, the tile then crosses $230^{\text {th }}$ Street and enters Section 12. It proceeds southwest across Section 12 and enters Section 11 when it crosses G Avenue at approximately $1 / 2$ mile south of $230^{\text {th }}$ Street. It then proceeds west, northwest, and southwest, and enters Section 10 when it crosses County Highway S27 at approximately $3 / 8$ mile south of $230^{\text {th }}$ Street. From here, it proceeds northwest and southwest across Section 10 and enters Section 9 when it crosses E Avenue at approximately $1 / 8$ mile south of $230^{\text {th }}$ Street. It then continues southwest and northwest and enters Section 4 when it crosses $230^{\text {th }}$ Street at approximately $1 / 4$ mile east of D Avenue. In Section 4, it continues northwest and southwest and reenters Section 9 briefly at the intersection of D Avenue and $230^{\text {th }}$ Street. From there it enters Section 8 , where it proceeds southwest until it is approximately $3 / 8$ mile south of $230^{\text {th }}$ Street and approximately $3 / 8$ mile east of County Highway S21. At that point, it turns south and southeast and enters Section 17 at approximately $3 / 8$ mile east of County Highway S21. From there it continues south and ends at approximately $3 / 8$ mile east and approximately $1 / 4$ south of the intersection of County Highway S21 and $240^{\text {th }}$ Street. For reference, a map showing the limits of investigation is included in Appendix I.
2.0 DISTRICT HISTORY - The following is a summary of the pertinent history of Drainage District No. 56 as obtained from the Hardin County Auditor's drainage minutes and records.

| 1914, April 2 | Petition for the establishment of a drain starting in Section 11 and terminating in Section 17. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1915, Feb 2 | Report filed by S.B Gardner, Engineer, for the establishment of a drainage district. It included an estimate of the materials for the construction of a Main and Laterals. |
| 1915 | Notice of hearing for the petition. Hearing to take place on March 12th. |
| 1915, Mar 12 | Hearing on the establishment of a drainage district held. Further hearing to take place on March 24th. |
| 1915, Mar 24 | Hearing on the establishment of a drainage district held. Lacking a quorum, meeting was adjourned, and meeting continued. |
| 1915, July 13 | Revision to the Engineer's report recommending the elimination of Laterals $12,14,23,24,25,26,27,36,39$, and 37. It also recommended that the Main tile not be constructed from Sta. $0+00$ to $16+50$ and that the bulkhead be constructed at Sta. 16+50. |
| 1915, July 14 | Drainage district established as specified in the report of E.W. Edwards, Engineer, and it was to be construction per the plans and specifications. E.W. Edwards appointed as engineer on the construction and the County Auditor instructed to advertise for bids for material and for labor for the construction. Said construction was to be completed by January 1, 1917. |
| 1915, July | Notice to contractors for the bid letting. Bids to be received until August 9th. |
| 1915, Aug 9 | Contract awarded to Evens \& Howard Fire Brick Company of St. Louis. |
| 1915, Dec 15 | E.W. Edwards resigns as Drainage Engineer for construction. |
| 1915, Dec 17 | W.S. Porter appointed as Drainage Engineer for construction. |
| 1917, Mar 20 | $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{11 / 4}$ Section 20; $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NW}^{1 / 1}$ Section $20 ; \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{11 / 4}$ Section 17; $\mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ Section 17; SW1/4 SE1⁄4 Section 17, $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 17; $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ $\mathrm{SW}^{1} / 4$ Section 17; and $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{SW}^{11 / 4}$ of Section 17 exempted from assessments. |
| 1918, Mar 19 | Approval of Engineer's letter recommending that the Main tile be constructed of cement 8 " sewer pipe at Sta. $684+00$ due to sand pockets found during construction. |
| 1918, Nov 11 | Bill for filling of ditch. |
| 1918, Nov 18 | Engineer's report recommended a 2,500 feet long 12 " relief tile beginning at Sta. 625 on the Main tile. |
| 1919, May 5 | Engineer's report stated that Lateral 3 was found crushed at the connection to the Main tile and had been repaired. |
| 1921, June 20 | Engineer reported that the tile just above the outlet had never been filled and recommended that the four hundred feet be filled. |
| 1929, Oct 15 | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1929, Dec 17 | Bill for work done. |


| 1936, Nov 16 | 3 bills for work done. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1937, Apr 26 | 2 bills for work done to Main drain. |
| 1937, Aug 13 | Bill for work done. |
| 1938, July 25 | 2 bills for work done. |
| 1939, May 29 | 6 bills for work done. |
| 1939, July 17 | Bill for work done. |
| 1941, May $6^{\text {th }}$ | 6 bills for work done. |
| 1943, June 14 | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1943, Nov 16 | 8 bills for work done. |
| 1944, June 16 | Bill for work done. |
| 1944, Sept 5 | Bill for work done. |
| 1944, Oct 24 | Bill for work done. |
| 1944, Nov 21 | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1945, Oct 2 | Bill for work done. |
| 1946, Dec 3 | 2 bills for work done. |
| 1947, Jan 21 | 21 bills for work done. |
| 1947, Feb | 5 bills for work done. |
| 1947, Mar | Bill for work done. |
| 1947, Apr 8 | 4 bills for work done. |
| 1947, May 6 | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1947, June 3 | Bill for work done. |
| 1948, Feb 24 | 4 bills for work done. |
| 1948, Apr | 4 bills for work done. |
| 1948, May 5 | 2 bills for work done. |
| 1949, Feb 1 | 4 bills for work done. |
| 1949, May 2 | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1949, Aug 15 | Bill for work done. |
| 1950, Sept | 5 bills for work done. |
| 1950, Dec 21 | 5 bills for work done. |
| 1951, Mar 19 | Bill for work done. |
| 1951, June 27 | 5 bills for work done. |
| 1953, Jan | 3 bills for work done. |
| 1953, Jan 28 | Bill for work done in $\mathrm{NE}^{11 / 4}$ Section 8 and $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ Section 12. |
| 1953, May | Bill for work done. |

1953, May 11-13 Repair in $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$ Section 11 and $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4}$ Section 12.
1953, May 18 Repair to 32 " Main tile in $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4$ Section 11.
1953, June $1^{\text {h }} \quad$ Bill for work done.
1953, June $30 \quad 3$ bills for work done.
1953, Nov Bill for work done.
1954, Jan 14 Bill for repair in $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 1 / 4}$ Section 11 and NW $1 / 4$ Section 12.
1955, Jan 14 Bill for repair in NW¼ Section 12.
1955, Aug 11 Repair to tile in $\mathrm{NW}^{1} / 4$ Section 11.
1955, Nov 15 Repair to riser in $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 11.
1959, Apr $18 \quad$ Bill for work done in $\mathrm{N} 1 / 2$ Section 11.
1959, May 13 Bill for repair to $30^{\prime \prime}$ Main tile in $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 11.
1959, July $20 \quad$ Bill for repair to 32 " Main tile in $E^{1} / 2$ Section 11.
1961, May $11 \quad$ Bill for work done in NE $1 / 4$ Section 11.
1962, May $2 \quad$ Bill for work done in Section 4.
1963, Aug 8 Repaired 32" Main tile in NW $1 / 4$ Section 12.
1964, Oct 23 Repair to $18^{\prime \prime}$ Main tile in NW $1 / 4$ Section 8.
1965, Oct 11 Work done in Section 12.
1965, Dec $15 \quad$ Repair in $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 1 / 4}$ Section 8.
1966, Apr 14 Repair in Section 8.
1969, May 12 Repair in Section 8.
1969, Sept $24 \quad$ Bill for work done in Section 1.
1969, Dec $1 \quad$ Repair to Main tile in Section 11.
1971, Feb 2 Large tile reported broken in two places in Section 8.
1971, Dec $7 \quad$ Bill for work done in Section 10.
1973, May $31 \quad$ Bill for work done in Section 11.
1974, May 1 Repair to Main tile in Section 8
1974, June 4 Repair in Section 8.
1975, June 3 Repair to broken intake and tile in road ditch in Section 9.
1975, Sept $12 \quad$ Bill for work done in Sections 11 and 12.
1976, June 2 Repair to Main tile in NW¼ Section 12.
1976, June 15 Engineer authorized to make preliminary report concerning cleanout and repair the Main drain.
1976, Dec 16 Request for cleanout of Main Open Ditch from Main tile outlet to Tipton Creek and creation of surface drain on west side of railroad in Section 11.

| 1977, Mar 11 | Report on proposed improvement, repairs, and outlet extension submitted by Phil Haefner, engineer. Report included repairs to the Main tile, improvement to Main Open Ditch, and open channel extension from the Main tile outlet to Tipton Creek. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1977, Mar16 | Preliminary report by Phil Haefner tentatively accepted. Hearing date set for April 26, 1977. |
| 1977, Mar 28 | Notice of hearing for the proposed repairs, improvements and extension of outlet. Hearing is to take place April 26, 1977. |
| 1977, Apr 26 | Hearing for proposed repairs and improvement. Engineer's report approved. |
| 1977, May 11 | Repair to Main tile in $\mathrm{NE} 1 / 4^{1}$ Section 8. |
| 1977, May 31 | Engineer's report (including 450 feet of tile repairs) approved and bid opening date set for July 19th. Suggested commencement date set for October 30th and completion date set for May 1, 1978. |
| 1977, June 25 | Specifications for construction of drainage improvements and repairs submitted by Phil Haefner. |
| 1977, July 19 | Bid letting with bid from B \& B Excavating of Parkersburg, Iowa accepted. Also, the design for the surface drain beneath the railroad was modified. |
| 1977, Aug 3 | Bill for repair to tile in Section 8. |
| 1978, Apr 21 | Request for extension of completion date granted due to weather. |
| 1978, May 18 | Modifications to proposed outlet extension due railroad pilings. |
| 1978, June 26 | Modifications to proposed outlet extension due to boulders. |
| 1978, June 27 | Engineer ordered to prepare report showing modifications to proposed outlet extension. |
| 1978, Oct 16 | Engineer submitted letter of completion. |
| 1978, Oct 17 | Engineer's report on completion accepted and hearing date set for November 9th. |
| 1978, Nov 9 | Repair and improvement accepted as completed by District Trustees. |
| 1980, June 9 | Repair to 12 " tile in SW $1 / 4$ Section 8. |
| 1980, Aug 13 | Bill for repair to 12" tile in Section 8. |
| 1981, July $21^{\text {st }}$ | Tile that outlets on surface reported washed out in $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4$ Section 1. Secondary Road Department authorized to repair. |
| 1981, Oct 21 | Bill for repair of washed out tile in Section 1. |
| 1982, July 12 | Tile reported washed out in Section 11. |
| 1983, May 2 | 36" Main tile reported broken in Section 12. |
| 1983, Oct 24 | Previously reported broken Main tile found to not be broken, but instead outlet needed repaired. |
| 1984, Apr 24 | Request for repair to broken 30 " Main tile in $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 9. |
| 1984, Apr 30 | Blowout/sinkhole reported where tile is broken in Section 9. |
| 1984, Oct 8 | Blowout over 28" Main tile reported in Section 9. |

1984, Oct 23 Bill for repair of broken $30^{\prime \prime}$ Main tile in NE $1 / 4$ Section 9.
1985, June $17 \quad$ Bill for repair of broken $28^{\prime \prime}$ Main tile in NE $1 / 4$ NW¼ Section 9.
1986, May 7 Request for repair of broken tile in NW $1 / 4$ Section 9.
1986, May $14 \quad$ Broken tile reported in Section 9.
1986, June 18 Bill for repair of broken $30^{\prime \prime}$ Main tile in NW $1 / 4$ Section 9.
1986, Sept 8 Bill for replacement of 400 feet of 12 " cement tile in $\mathrm{SE}^{1} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{11 / 4}$ Section 8.
1990, June $13 \quad$ Bill for repair of broken intake and tile in NW $1 / 4$ Section 11.
1990, July 24 Request for repair to tile in $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 9 with crew to verify that tile is district tile.
1990, Aug 29 Request for repair with crew directed to check on condition of the Main tile.
1990, Oct $1 \quad$ Bill for repair of broken tile in Section 9.
1991, Oct $30 \quad$ Request for repair approved for broken tile in $\mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 9.
1992, Apr 14 Crew directed to verify and repair tile as requested in Section 10.
1992, Apr 15 Bill for repair to broken tile in NE $1 / 4$ Section 9.
1992, May 15 Bill for repair to broken tile in $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 10.
1994, May 11 Request for repair approved for broken tile in Section 8.
1994, May $23 \quad$ Bill for repair of broken tile in $\mathrm{NW}^{1} 1 / 4$ and $\mathrm{SW}^{1} 1 / 4$ Section 8.
1998, July 15 Crew directed to verify and repair Main tile as requested in NE $1 / 4$ Section 9.
1998, Nov 16
1999, July 21
2001, Dec 3
2001, Dec
2008, June 9
2009, Apr 15
2009, May 20
2010, Apr 21
2010, June $11 \quad$ Bill for repair of broken Main tile in $\mathrm{NW}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{NE}^{1 / 4}$ Section 10.
3.0 INVESTIGATION - For the investigation portion of this report, field observations and office investigations were performed. The field observation for this report was limited to determining a possible route for an upper Main tile outlet near E Avenue from the existing Main tile to the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26. Said observation was limited to visual observation (without excavation) and preliminary field survey of the same.

Office investigation started with a review of district history. Said review shows that there were repairs requested within 15 years after the initial construction of the Main tile. This is probably an indication of poor workmanship during construction, usage of inferior materials, or inadequate design. Since then, repairs have been pretty common with over 100 repairs during the last 90 years. Many details of these repairs have been lost to time, but it appears many of them have consisted of tile replacements due to blowouts and sinkholes. In addition, these repairs have been fairly consistent in their occurrence and do not appear to have accelerated over recent years.

All other office investigations were limited to office calculations and records research. Using this information, calculations were performed to determine the drainage coefficient for the length of the existing Main tile. It appears that the Main tile was designed to provide a drainage coefficient of 0.07 inches per day at the downstream and upstream ends, with the length of the Main tile varying from 0.03 to 0.22 inches per day.
4.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS - Based on the above, it is apparent that the Main tile has issues which warrant corrective actions. First, the Main tile has a history of failure based on the sheer number of repairs. In many drainage districts, repairs are attributable to physical deterioration as the tile reaches the end of its lifecycle. Although this may be true in this district also, the regularity of the repairs over the last 90 years indicates that something else is at play. These historic repairs are probably due to a combination of overloading of the tile, poor soil conditions, lack of soil over, or differential drainage capacity along the length of the Main tile. As mentioned in the Investigation section above, all of these can probably be attributed to poor workmanship during construction, usage of inferior materials, or inadequate design. Finally, the capacity of the existing Main tile is far below that of modern preferred drainage coefficients of $1 / 2$ inch per day to 1 inch per day.

If some corrective action is not undertaken, the physical failures of the Main tile will accelerate. This will allow soil to enter the tile and the physical failures will manifest themselves as more sinkholes and soil infiltration. Also, if said corrective action does not increase the drainage capacity, the Main tile will continue to provide less than desirable drainage performance at best and in some locations continue the pattern of almost annual failures. When all the issues are combined, it will lead to further reduced drainage and liability exposure by the drainage district.
5.0 IMPROVEMENT METHODS - To improve the drainage capacity for the existing Main tile, the following options are the most straightforward available:

## Upper Main Tile Outlet

- Sever the existing Main tile, install a new outlet to the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26, and divert flows from the upper portion of the Main tile to the new outlet. For reference, a chart with the required tile sizes and capacities is included in Appendix P.
- The point of severing and the new outlet would be at approximately $1 / 4$ mile east of $E$ Avenue and run in a northerly direction (following the lower points of the land) until reaching the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26. For reference, the general route is shown on the map included in Appendix O.


## Single Tile Upsizing

- For the entire length of the Main tile, remove and replace the existing Main tile with a single new Main tile of greater capacity. For reference, a chart with the required tile sizes and capacities is included in Appendix R.
- Typically, the replacement Main tile would be in the same location as the existing Main tile in order to locate and reconnect private tile and lateral connections. For reference, the general route is shown on the map included in Appendix Q.


## Dual Tile Upsizing

- For the entire length of the Main tile, remove and replace the existing Main tile with two new Main tiles of greater combined capacity with interconnections for flow equalization. For reference, a chart with the required tile sizes and capacities is included in Appendix S.
- Typically, the replacement Main tiles would be in the same location as the existing Main tile in order to locate and reconnect private tile and lateral connections. For reference, the general route is shown on the map included in Appendix Q.


## Parallel Tile Upsizing

- For the entire length of the Main tile, leave the existing Main tile in place and install a new parallel Main tile for greater combined capacity. For reference, a chart with the required tile sizes and capacities is included in in Appendix T.
- Typically, the supplemental Main tile would be near the location of the existing Main tile in order to locate and reconnect private tile and lateral connections and interconnect the two for flow equalization. For reference, the general route is shown on the map included in Appendix Q.


## Open Ditch Construction

- For the entire length of the Main tile, remove and replace the existing Main tile with a Main Open Ditch. For reference, a chart with the open ditch depths and capacities is included in Appendix U.
- Typically, the Main Open Ditch would be in the same location and same depth as the existing Main tile in order to locate and outlet private tile and lateral connections. For reference, the general route is shown on the map included in Appendix Q.

With the above-mentioned improvement methods, the following assumptions should be noted:

- Due to the soil types and soil cover, all tile will have rock bedding for additional stability and strength.
- The existing ground elevations shown in the original design are still accurate.
- The only tiles being improved are the tiles identified in Appendices P, R, S, and T. The remainder of the tiles are not being improved or modified in any manner.
- The proposed pipe sizes shown in Appendices P, R, S, and T are those that are currently manufactured that meet or exceed the $1 / 2$ " or 1 " drainage coefficient.
- The proposed and existing capacities shown in Appendices P, R, S, and T are based on the assumptions that the Main tile is installed per the original design and that it is functioning at full capacity (i.e. are not collapsed, broken, plugged, etc).
- The proposed and existing pipe sizes and capacities shown in Appendices P, R, S, and T are those to serve the lands within the existing District boundaries and not any discharges from other lands outside the District boundaries.
- Portions of the Single Tile Upsizing, Dual Tile Upsizing, and Parallel Tile Upsizing options may prohibit farming over the proposed Main tile at certain areas due to a lack of soil cover and may even require mounding of soil above the proposed Main tile.
- The Single Tile Upsizing, Dual Tile Upsizing, and Open Ditch Construction options would allow for lower maintenance costs in the future as the entire Main is new.
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet and Parallel Tile Upsizing options would require higher maintenance costs in the future as the remaining portions of the existing Main tile are left in service and are over 100 years old.
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet and Open Ditch Construction options would require the taking of right of way, which is not included in the opinion of probable construction costs contained in the next section of this report
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet option does not increase drainage capacity for those portions of the Main tile upstream of the upper main tile outlet. It just shortens the length of restrictions between that point and the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26.
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet option does increase drainage capacity for those portions of the Main tile downstream of the upper main tile outlet as a large portion of the Drainage District drainage area has been removed from the Main tile.
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet option would turn the drainage area upstream of the Upper Main Tile Outlet into a separate Drainage District.
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet option can freely discharge into the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26 without charge.
- The proposed tile on the Upper Main Tile Outlet would be installed at some large depths (20'土).
- The Upper Main Tile Outlet option may require annexation to extend the district boundary to the north to allow for installation of the proposed tile.
- Improvements have historically been viewed as having an impact on jurisdictional wetlands. As such, individual landowners should consult with applicable staff at the Hardin County NRCS office to determine the existence of said jurisdictional wetlands and what said impact may be on them.
Per Iowa Code Chapter 468.126, the above actions would be considered an improvement. As such, Subsection 4, paragraph c of Chapter 468.126 states "If the estimated cost of the improvement does not exceed fifty thousand dollars, the board may order the work done without conducting a hearing on the matter. Otherwise, the board shall set a date for a hearing on whether to construct the proposed improvement and whether there shall be a reclassification of benefits for the cost of the proposed improvement." The opinion of probable construction costs contained in the next section of this report exceeds said $\$ 50,000$ limit. Therefore, a hearing will be required. Per Iowa Code Chapter 468.126.4.e, the right of remonstrance may apply to the proposed improvements.
6.0 OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS - Using the above methods of improvement, an itemized list of project quantities and associated opinions of probable construction cost for each option were compiled and are included in Appendices V, W, X, Y and Z of this report. A summary of said costs are as follows:

| METHOD | DRAINAGE <br> COEFF. | DISTRICT COST | ROAD CROSSING <br> COST |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Main Tile Outlet | Varies | $\$ 468,625.00$ | $\$ 22,281.25$ |
| Single Tile Upsizing | $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 5,641,191.60$ | $\$ 206,353.13$ |
|  | $1 "$ | $\$ 7,803,417.60$ | $\$ 236,971.88$ |
| Dual Tile Upsizing | $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 7,448,733.60$ | $\$ 281,318.75$ |
|  | $1 "$ | $\$ 10,681,413.60$ | $\$ 326,384.38$ |
| Parallel Tile Upsizing | $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 4,769,397.60$ | $\$ 193,990.63$ |
|  | $1 "$ | $\$ 7,471,305.60$ | $\$ 225.903 .13$ |
| Open Ditch Construction | Varies | $\$ 1,989,504.00$ | $\$ 862,125.00$ |

It should be noted that said costs include materials, labor, and equipment supplied by the contractor to complete the necessary improvement and include applicable engineering, construction observation, and project administration fees by Clapsaddle-Garber Associates. However, said costs do not include any interest, legal fees, county administrative fees, crop damages, other damages, previous repairs, engineering fees to date, wetland mitigation fees, right of way acquisition, or reclassification fees (if applicable). As always, all costs shown are opinions of Clapsaddle-Garber Associates based on previous lettings on other projects. Said costs are just a guideline and are not a guarantee of actual costs.
7.0 OWNERSHIP AND CLASSIFICATIONS - Any and all information concerning ownership of lands and classifications of said lands within Drainage District No. 56 can be obtained from the Hardin County Auditor's office.

It should also be noted that Iowa Code Chapter 468.131 states "When an assessment for improvements . . . exceeds twenty-five percent of the original assessment and the original or subsequent assessment . . . did not designate separately the amount each tract should pay for the main ditch and tile lateral drains then the board shall order a reclassification . .." Based on this, it appears that a reclassification separating laterals may be required if any of the above options were deemed to be an improvement, said improvement were to move forward, and the laterals had not already been separated. Since the proposed project does not involve the laterals, it is not clear if this portion of code is applicable and it is our recommendation that the District Trustees seek advice from their legal counsel.
8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS - There is a definite need to perform one of the above mentioned actions. The improvements would remove the current restrictions and impediments to the Main tile, extend the lifespan of the same, even out the capacity. Therefore, it is recommended that the Hardin County Board of Supervisors, acting as District Trustees, should take action to accomplish the following:

- Approve the Engineer's Report as prepared by Clapsaddle-Garber Associates.
- Hold the required hearing on the proposed improvements.
- Adopt one of the recommendations of the Engineer's Report.
- If the Upper Main Tile Outlet option is selected:
- Confirm that Drainage District 56 should be split into two separate districts.
- Confirm that the upper Main tile outlet can discharge freely into the Main Open Ditch of Drainage District 26 without charge.
- Confirm if annexation is necessary to extend the the upper Main tile outlet to the north.
- Direct plans and specifications for the proposed improvements be prepared by ClapsaddleGarber Associates.
- Proceed with receiving bids from interested contractors by Clapsaddle-Garber Associates.
- Award contract to the lowest responsible contractor.
- Seek legal advice whether reclassification is required.
- If desired or required by Iowa Code, proceed with reclassification proceedings.


# DRAINAGE DISTRICT 56 LANDOWNER MEETING 

3/28/2018 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Board of Supervisors Co-Chairperson, Renee McClellan, opened the meeting. Also present was Hardin County Supervisor, Lance Granzow; Landowner, Matt Topp, Bob Topp, Betty Thomas, Kevin Sheldahl, Lynn Holechek, Jon Kuhfus, Mike Bostrom, Brad Fjelland, Brian Krause, Ben Krause, Harold Bahr Jr, Marjorie Krause, Jacob Handsaker and Mike McCartney; Lee Gallentine and Heather Thomas with Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); Drainage Clerk, Tina Schlemme. Absent: BJ Hoffman.
2. Approve Agenda

Granzow moved, McClellan seconded to approve the agenda as presented. All ayes. Motion carried.
3. Attendance/Introductions

Introductions were made and attendance verified.
4. Explanation Of Landowner Request

Schlemme explained that a landowner had requested that his drainage west of E Avenue be improved. There were a couple possibilities mentioned to him that included upsizing the tile lying west of $E$ Avenue or severing the tile from DD 56 and draining north into DD 26 open ditch.

## 5. Comments/Discussion

There was much discussion between landowners and the Trustees. Gallentine stated that a project this size would more than likely not be less than $\$ 500,000$. Landowners asked approximately how much an engineer's report cost to produce, in which Gallentine answered roughly $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 5,000$. It was discussed that this project would probably be considered an improvement so a reclassification would need completed, in which Gallentine estimated another $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 6,000$ for the reclass report.

Other options were discussed, such as not severing the tile but adding a tile that would go north along E Avenue and pay an outlet fee to DD 26 . Replacing all tile in the district with larger tile, an open ditch and installing a parallel tile were also discussed. When Trustees asked for a show of hands from landowners who supported the engineer creating a report, all hands were raised.
6. Possible Action

Granzow moved, McClellan seconded for CGA to research the district and create an engineer's report with multiple options, as discussed. (1. Install tile to the north along E Avenue so all water from the west would flow north. 2. Replace all tile in the district with larger tile. 3. Install two new parallel tiles. 4. Install an open ditch. 5. Parallel the old tile with a new.) All ayes. Motion carried.
7. Other Business

None.
8. Adjourn Meeting

Granzow moved, McClellan seconded to adjourn the meeting. All ayes. Motion carried.

Drainage Work Order Request For Repair Hardin County


Available for Repair Now?Yes Date Available $\qquad$

Problem Description Lynn would like more drg capacity for his land. Sheldahl Bros believe it best to sever the tile west of E Ave \& annex into DD 26 to drain to Tipton Creek. The land east of E Ave, that's flat, would benefit from not taking all the water from the west.


Repair labor, materials and equipment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Potential Wetlands?Yes-Repair existing tile only
$\square$ No-Repair and maintain tile

Repaired By: $\qquad$
Date:

Please send statement for services to:

Phone (641) 939-8111
Fax (641) 939-8245

Hardin County Auditor's Office
Attn: Tina Schlemme
1215 Edgington Ave, Suite 1
Eldora, IA 50627
$\qquad$




PLAT
OF

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1 . V . S .}{1 / 28 / 2019}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date: $2 / 4 / 2019$ |  |  |
| Engineer's Opinion of Main tile Capacities <br> Project: Upper Main Tile Outlet for D.D. \#56 <br> Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, lowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IMPROVED - DOWNSTREAM OF UPPER MAIN TILE OUTLET |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STA | EXISTING DESCRIPTION | INSTALLED <br> TILE SIZE <br> (in) | INSTALLED <br> TILE CAPACITY (cfs) | INSTALLED <br> TILE <br> CAPACITY <br> (in/day) | IMPROVED <br> TILE <br> CAPACITY <br> (cfs) | IMPROVED TILE CAPACITY (in/day) |
|  | 16+50 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 32 | 12.0 | 0.07 | 12.0 | 0.19 |
|  | $28+00$ | Grade change: $0.06 \%-0.18 \%$ | 32 | 20.7 | 0.13 | 20.7 | 0.37 |
|  | 51+00 | Lateral 3 | 32 | 20.7 | 0.14 | 20.7 | 0.44 |
|  | $70+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 32 | 18.3 | 0.13 | 18.3 | 0.53 |
|  | 100+00 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 32 | 16.9 | 0.13 | 16.9 | 0.64 |
|  | $122+76$ | West side Co Hwy S27 | 32 | 16.9 | 0.14 | 16.9 | 0.98 |
|  | $152+00$ | Size change: 32 " - $28{ }^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.12 \%-0.28 \%$ | 32/28 | 18.1 | 0.17 | 18.1 | 3.01 |
|  | $168+50$ | Lateral 14/End of Lower Stretch | 28 | 18.1 |  | 18.1 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | By: J.V.S.Date: $1 / 28 / 2019$Checked By: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: 2/4/2019 |  |
| Engineer's Opinion of Main tile Capacities <br> Project: Upper Main Tile Outlet for D.D. \#56 <br> Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IMPROVED - UPSTREAM OF UPPER MAIN TILE OUTLET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STA | EXISTING DESCRIPTION | INSTALLED TILE SIZE <br> (in) | INSTALLED <br> TILE <br> CAPACITY <br> (cfs) | installed <br> TILE <br> CAPACITY <br> (in/day) | IMPROVED TILE SIZE <br> (in) | IMPROVED <br> TILE <br> CAPACITY <br> (cfs) | IMPROVED TILE CAPACITY (in/day) |
|  | 0+00 | Proposed Main tile empties into D.D. 26 Open Ditch |  |  |  | 48 | 70.6 | 0.68 |
|  | 19+40/168+50 | Lateral 14, Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.28 \%$ | 28 | 18.1 | 0.18 |  |  |  |
|  | $180+00$ | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 28 | 16.8 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | $190+00$ | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 28 | 16.0 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 200+00 | Grade change: $0.22 \%-0.18 \%$ | 28 | 14.5 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | $220+00$ | Grade change 0.18\%-0.14\% | 28 | 12.8 | 0.18 |  |  |  |
|  | 230+00 | Grade change 0.14\% - 0.10\% | 28 | 10.8 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 246+00 | Size change: $28^{\prime \prime}-26^{\prime \prime}$ | 28/26 | 8.9 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 260+00 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.16 \%$ | 26 | 11.2 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
|  | 262+00 | Size change: $26^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ | 26/24 | 9.1 | 0.19 |  |  |  |
|  | 266+00 | Size change: $24^{\prime \prime}-22^{\prime \prime}$ | 24/22 | 7.2 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | $270+00$ | Size change: $22^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | 22/20 | 7.1 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 279+00 | Size change: $20^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime \prime}$ | 20/18 | 5.4 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
|  | 284+00 | Size change: $18^{\prime \prime}-16^{\prime \prime}$ | 18/16 | 3.9 | 0.13 |  |  |  |
|  | 286+00 | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 16 | 3.3 | 0.11 |  |  |  |
|  | $308+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 16 | 2.4 | 0.10 |  |  |  |
|  | $313+00$ | Size change: $16^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ | 16/15 | 2.0 | 0.09 |  |  |  |
|  | $318+00$ | Size change: $15^{\prime \prime}-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 15/14 | 1.7 | 0.10 |  |  |  |
|  | $327+00$ | Size change: 14"-12" | 14/12 | 1.1 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
|  | $339+00$ | Size change: $12^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | 12/10 | 0.7 | 0.06 |  |  |  |
|  | $341+00$ | Size change: 10" - 8" | 10/8 | 0.4 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
|  | $343+00$ | Size change: $8^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ | $8 / 7$ | 0.3 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
|  | $347+00$ | Size change: $7^{\prime \prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 7/6 | 0.4 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
|  | $351+00$ | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ | 6 | 0.5 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
|  | $354+00$ | End of Main tile | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | By: J.V.S.Date:Checked By: $1 / 28 / 2019$L.O.G. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Engineer's Opinion of Main tile Capacities <br> Project: Single Tile Upsizing for D.D. \#56 <br> Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EXISTING |  |  |  | IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED DESCRIPTION | 1/2" DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT |  |  | 1" dRainage coefficient |  |  |
|  | STA | EXISTING DESCRIPTION | installed TILE SIZE <br> (in) | $\begin{gathered} \text { INSTALLED } \\ \text { TILE } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (cfs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INSTALLED TILE CAPACITY (in/day) |  | IMPROVED TILE SIZE <br> (in) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IMPROVED } \\ \text { TILE } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (cfs) } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | IMPROVED TILE SIZE <br> (in) |  | IMPROVED TILE CAPACITY (in/day) |
|  | 16+50 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 32 | 12.0 | 0.07 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 66 | 82.5 | 0.50 | 90 | 188.6 | 1.15 |
|  | $28+00$ | Grade change: 0.06\% - 0.18\% | 32 | 20.7 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.06 \%-0.18 \%$ | 66/54 | 83.7 | 0.53 | $90 / 72$ | 180.2 | 1.14 |
|  | $51+00$ | Lateral 3 | 32 | 20.7 | 0.14 | Lateral 3 | 54 | 83.7 | 0.56 | 72 | 180.2 | 1.21 |
|  | 70+00 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 32 | 18.3 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 54 | 73.8 | 0.54 | 72 | 158.9 | 1.17 |
|  | 100+00 | Grade change: 0.14\% - $0.12 \%$ | 32 | 16.9 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 54 | 68.3 | 0.53 | 72 | 147.1 | 1.15 |
|  | 122+76 | West side Co Hwy $\mathrm{S}^{\text {27 }}$ | 32 | 16.9 | 0.14 | West side Co Hwy S27 | 54 | 68.3 | 0.57 | 72 | 147.1 | 1.24 |
|  | 152+00 | Size change: $32^{\prime \prime}-28^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.12 \%-0.28 \%$ | $32 / 28$ | 18.1 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.12 \%-0.28 \%$ | $54 / 48$ | 76.2 | 0.71 | $72 / 60$ | 138.2 | 1.28 |
|  | $168+50$ | Lateral 14 | 28 | 18.1 | 0.18 | Lateral 14 | 48 | 76.2 | 0.75 | 60 | 138.2 | 1.36 |
|  | 180+00 | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 28 | 16.8 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 48 | 70.6 | 0.72 | 60 | 127.9 | 1.30 |
|  | 190+00 | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 28 | 16.0 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 48 | 67.6 | 0.70 | 60 | 122.5 | 1.27 |
|  | 200+00 | Grade change: $0.22 \%-0.18 \%$ | 28 | 14.5 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.22 \%-0.18 \%$ | 48 | 61.1 | 0.72 | 60 | 110.8 | 1.31 |
|  | 220+00 | Grade change 0.18\%-0.14\% | 28 | 12.8 | 0.18 | Grade change 0.18\% - $0.14 \%$ | 48 | 53.9 | 0.75 | 60 | 97.7 | 1.36 |
|  | 230+00 | Grade change 0.14\%-0.10\% | 28 | 10.8 | 0.17 | Grade change 0.14\%-0.10\% | 48 | 45.5 | 0.70 | 60 | 82.6 | 1.27 |
|  | $246+00$ | Size change: $288^{\prime \prime}-26^{\prime \prime}$ | $28 / 26$ | 8.9 | 0.17 |  | $48 / 42$ | 31.9 | 0.62 | $60 / 54$ | 62.4 | 1.22 |
|  | 260+00 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.16 \%$ | 26 | 11.2 | 0.22 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.16 \%$ | 42/36 | 26.8 | 0.53 | $54 / 48$ | 57.6 | 1.14 |
|  | 262+00 | Size change: $26^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ | 26/24 | 9.1 | 0.19 |  | 36 | 26.8 | 0.56 | 48 | 57.6 | 1.21 |
|  | $266+00$ | Size change: $24^{\prime \prime}-22^{\prime \prime}$ | 24/22 | 7.2 | 0.17 |  | 36 | 26.8 | 0.63 | 48 | 57.6 | 1.35 |
|  | 270+00 | Size change: $22^{\prime \prime}$ - 20", Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | 22120 | 7.1 | 0.17 | Grade change: 0.16\%-0.26\% | 36 | 34.1 | 0.81 | $48 / 42$ | 51.4 | 1.21 |
|  | $279+00$ | Size change: 20 " $-18^{\prime \prime}$ | 20/18 | 5.4 | 0.14 |  | 36/30 | 21.0 | 0.54 | 42 | 51.4 | 1.32 |
|  | $284+00$ | Size change: $18^{\prime \prime}-16^{\prime \prime}$ | 18/16 | 3.9 | 0.13 |  | 30 | 21.0 | 0.71 | 42 | 51.4 | 1.75 |
|  | $286+00$ | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 16 | 3.3 | 0.11 | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 30 | 17.4 | 0.59 | 42 | 42.8 | 1.46 |
|  | $308+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 16 | 2.4 | 0.10 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 30 | 13.0 | 0.52 | 42 | 31.9 | 1.27 |
|  | $313+00$ | Size change: $16^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ | 16/15 | 2.0 | 0.09 |  | 30 | 13.0 | 0.58 | 42 | 31.9 | 1.42 |
|  | $318+00$ | Size change: $15^{\prime \prime}-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 15/14 | 1.7 | 0.10 |  | $30 / 27$ | 9.8 | 0.59 | 42/36 | 21.1 | 1.27 |
|  | $327+00$ | Size change: $14^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ | 14/12 | 1.1 | 0.07 |  | 27 | 9.8 | 0.63 | 36 | 21.1 | 1.36 |
|  | $339+00$ | Size change: $12^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | 12/10 | 0.7 | 0.06 |  | $27 / 24$ | 7.2 | 0.63 | 36/30 | 13.0 | 1.13 |
|  | $341+00$ | Size change: $10^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | 10/8 | 0.4 | 0.04 |  | 24/21 | 5.0 | 0.52 | 30/27 | 9.8 | 1.02 |
|  | $343+00$ | Size change: $8^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ | $8 / 7$ | 0.3 | 0.03 |  | 21 | 5.0 | 0.52 | 27 | 9.8 | 1.02 |
|  | $347+00$ | Size change: $7^{\prime \prime}-6{ }^{\text {" }}$, Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 7/6 | 0.4 | 0.05 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 21/15 | 4.5 | 0.55 | $27 / 21$ | 11.0 | 1.36 |
|  | $351+00$ | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ |  | 0.5 | 0.07 | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ | 15 | 6.1 | 0.80 | 21/18 | 10.0 | 1.30 |
|  | $354+00$ | End of Main tile | 6 |  |  | End of Main tile | 15 |  |  | 18 |  |  |


| $\square \rightarrow \Delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | By: Date: Date: | $\frac{\text { J.V.S. }}{\frac{1 / 28 / 2019}{\text { L.O.G. }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineer's Opinion of Main tile Capacities <br> Project: Dual Tile Upsizing for D.D. \#56 Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EXISTING |  |  |  | IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED DESCRIPTION | 1/2" DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT |  |  |  | 1" DRAINAGE COEFFICIENT |  |  |  |
|  | STA | EXISTING DESCRIPTION | installed TILE SIZE <br> (in) | installed TILE CAPACITY (cfs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { INSTALLED } \\ \text { TILE } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (in/day) } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | IMPROVED PIPE 1TILE SIZE (in) | IMPROVED <br> PIPE 2 TILE <br> SIZE (in) | TOTAL Improved TILE CAPACITY (cfs) | TOTAL improved TILE CAPACITY (in/day) | IMPROVED PIPE 1TILE SIZE (in) | IMPROVED <br> PIPE 2 TILE SIZE (in) | TOTAL IMPROVED TILE CAPACITY (cfs) | TOTAL Improved TILE CAPACITY (in/day) |
|  | 16+50 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 32 | 12.0 | 0.07 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 51 | $51 / 42$ | 82.9 | 0.51 | 72 | 60 | 168.0 | 1.02 |
|  | 28+00 | Grade change: $0.06 \%-0.18 \%$ | 32 | 20.7 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.06 \%-0.18 \%$ | $51 / 42$ |  | 85.6 | 0.54 | $72 / 54$ | $60 / 54$ | 167.3 | 1.06 |
|  | $51+00$ | Lateral 3 | 32 | 20.7 | 0.14 | Lateral 3 | 42 | 42 | 85.6 | 0.58 | 54 | 54 | 167.3 | 1.13 |
|  | 70+00 | Grade change: 0.18\%-0.14\% | 32 | 18.3 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 42 | 42 | 75.5 | 0.55 | 54 | 54 | 147.6 | 1.08 |
|  | 100+00 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 32 | 16.9 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 42 | 42 | 69.9 | 0.54 | 54 | 54 | 136.6 | 1.06 |
|  | 122+76 | West side Co Hwy 327 | 32 | 16.9 | 0.14 | West side Co Hwy S27 | 42 | 42 | 69.9 | 0.59 | 54 | 54 | 136.6 | 1.15 |
|  | $152+00$ | Size change: $32^{\prime \prime}-28{ }^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.12 \%-0.28 \%$ | $32 / 28$ | 18.1 | 0.17 |  | 42/36 | 42/30 | 57.2 | 0.53 | $54 / 48$ | 54/36 | 111.6 | 1.04 |
|  | 168+50 | Lateral 14 | 28 | 18.1 | 0.18 | Lateral 14 | 36 | 30 | 57.2 | 0.56 | 48 | 36 | 111.6 | 1.10 |
|  | 180+00 | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 28 | 16.8 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 36 | 30 | 52.9 | 0.54 | 48 | 36 | 103.3 | 1.05 |
|  | $190+00$ | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 28 | 16.0 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 36 | 30 | 50.7 | 0.52 | 48 | 36 | 98.9 | 1.02 |
|  | 200+00 | Grade change: $0.22 \%-0.18 \%$ | 28 | 14.5 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.22-0.18 \%$ | 36 | 30 | 45.8 | 0.54 | 48 | 36 | 89.5 | 1.06 |
|  | 220+00 | Grade change 0.18\% - $0.14 \%$ | 28 | 12.8 | 0.18 | Grade change 0.18\%-0.14\% | 36 | 30 | 40.4 | 0.56 | 48 | 36 | 78.9 | 1.10 |
|  | $230+00$ | Grade change 0.14\% - 0.10\% | 28 | 10.8 | 0.17 | Grade change 0.14\%-0.10\% | 36 | 30 | 34.2 | 0.53 | 48 | 36 | 66.7 | 1.03 |
|  | $246+00$ | Size change: $28{ }^{\prime \prime}-26^{\prime \prime}$ | $28 / 26$ | 8.9 | 0.17 | Size change: $28^{\prime \prime}-26^{\prime \prime}$ | 36/30 | 30 | 26.0 | 0.51 | 48/42 | 36 | 53.0 | 1.04 |
|  | $260+00$ | Grade change: 0.10\%-0.16\% | 26 | 11.2 | 0.22 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.16 \%$ | 30 | $30 / 27$ | 28.9 | 0.57 | 42/36 | 36 | 53.5 | 1.06 |
|  | 262+00 | Size change: $26^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ | $26 / 24$ | 9.1 | 0.19 |  | $30 / 27$ | 27 | 24.8 | 0.52 | 36 | 36 | 53.5 | 1.13 |
|  | 266+00 | Size change: $24^{\prime \prime}-22^{\prime \prime}$ | $24 / 22$ | 7.2 | 0.17 |  | 27 | $27 / 24$ | 21.5 | 0.50 | 36 | 36/30 | 43.2 | 1.01 |
|  | 270+00 | Size change: $22^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | 22/20 | 7.1 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | $27 / 24$ | 24 | 23.1 | 0.55 | 36 | 30 | 55.1 | 1.30 |
|  | 279+00 | Size change: $20^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime \prime}$ | $20 / 18$ | 5.4 | 0.14 |  | 24 | 24 | 23.1 | 0.59 | 36/30 | 30 | 41.9 | 1.08 |
|  | $284+00$ | Size change: $18^{\prime \prime}-16^{\prime \prime}$ | 18/16 | 3.9 | 0.13 |  | 24 | 24 | 23.1 | 0.78 | 30 | 30 | 41.9 | 1.42 |
|  | $286+00$ | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 16 | 3.3 | 0.11 | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 24 | 24 | 19.2 | 0.66 | 30 | 30 | 34.9 | 1.19 |
|  | $308+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 16 | 2.4 | 0.10 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 24 | 24 | 14.3 | 0.57 | 30 | 30 | 26.0 | 1.04 |
|  | $313+00$ | Size change: $16^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ | 16/15 | 2.0 | 0.09 |  | 24 | 24 | 14.3 | 0.64 | 30 | 30 | 26.0 | 1.16 |
|  | $318+00$ | Size change: $15^{\prime \prime}-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 15/14 | 1.7 | 0.10 |  | 24 | 24/18 | 10.5 | 0.63 | $30 / 27$ | $30 / 27$ | 19.6 | 1.18 |
|  | $327+00$ | Size change: $14^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ | 14/12 | 1.1 | 0.07 |  | 24 | 18 | 10.5 | 0.67 | 27 | $27 / 24$ | 17.0 | 1.09 |
|  | $339+00$ | Size change: $12^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | 12/10 | 0.7 | 0.06 |  | 24/18 | 18 | 6.7 | 0.58 | $27 / 24$ | 24 | 14.3 | 1.25 |
|  | $341+00$ | Size change: 10"- $8^{\prime \prime}$ | $10 / 8$ | 0.4 | 0.04 |  | 18 | 18/15 | 5.4 | 0.56 | $24 / 21$ | 24/21 | 10.0 | 1.04 |
|  | $343+00$ | Size change: $8^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ | $8 / 7$ | 0.3 | 0.03 |  | 18 | 15 | 5.4 | 0.56 | 21 | 21 | 10.0 | 1.05 |
|  | $347+00$ | Size change: $7^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 7/6 | 0.4 | 0.05 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 18/12 | 15/12 | 5.0 | 0.61 | 21/15 | 21/15 | 9.0 | 1.11 |
|  | $351+00$ | Grade change: 0.48\%-0.90\% | 6 | 0.5 | 0.07 | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ | 12/10 | 12/10 | 4.2 | 0.54 | 15 | 15 | 12.3 | 1.60 |
|  | $354+00$ | End of Main tile | 6 |  |  | End of Main tile | 10 | 10 |  |  | 15 | 15 |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | By: Date: Checked By: Date: | J.V.S. <br> 1/28/2019 <br> L.O.G. <br> /4/2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineer's Opinion of Main tile Capacities <br> Project: Open Ditch Construction for D.D. \#56 <br> Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, lowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EXISTING |  |  |  | IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OPEN DITCH |  |  |
|  | STA | EXISTING DESCRIPTION | installed tile SIZE <br> (in) | $\begin{gathered} \text { INSTALLED } \\ \text { TILE } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (cfs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INSTALLED TILE CAPACITY (in/day) | PROPOSED DESCRIPTION | APPROX. OPEN DITCH DEPTH (ft) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { IMPROVED } \\ \text { OPEN DITCH } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (CfI) } \end{array}$ | improved OPEN DITCH CAPACITY (in/day) |
|  | 16+50 | Existing Main tile empties into open ditch | 32 | 12.0 | 0.07 | Start of Proposed Open Ditch | 6 | 276.7 | 1.69 |
|  | $28+00$ | Grade change: $0.06 \%-0.18 \%$ | 32 | 20.7 | 0.13 | Grade change: 0.06\% - 0.18\% | 6 | 479.3 | 3.03 |
|  | $51+00$ | Lateral 3 | 32 | 20.7 | 0.14 | Lateral 3 | 6 | 479.3 | 3.22 |
|  | $70+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 32 | 18.3 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.14 \%$ | 6 | 422.7 | 3.11 |
|  | 100+00 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 32 | 16.9 | 0.13 | Grade change: $0.14 \%-0.12 \%$ | 7 | 562.5 | 4.38 |
|  | 122+76 | West side Co Hwy 527 | 32 | 16.9 | 0.14 | West side Co Hwy S27 | 7 | 562.5 | 4.73 |
|  | 152+00 | Size change: $32^{\prime \prime}-28^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.12 \%-0.28 \%$ | 32/28 | 18.1 | 0.17 | Grade change: 0.12\%-0.28\% | 6 | 597.7 | 5.54 |
|  | $168+50$ | Lateral 14 | 28 | 18.1 | 0.18 | Lateral 14 | 5 | 392.0 | 3.85 |
|  | 180+00 | Grade change: $0.28 \%-0.24 \%$ | 28 | 16.8 | 0.17 | Grade change: 0.28\%-0.24\% | 5 | 362.9 | 3.70 |
|  | 190+00 | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 28 | 16.0 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.24 \%-0.22 \%$ | 5 | 347.5 | 3.59 |
|  | 200+00 | Grade change: $0.22 \%-0.18 \%$ | 28 | 14.5 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.22-0.18 \%$ | 5 | 314.3 | 3.73 |
|  | 220+00 | Grade change 0.18\%-0.14\% | 28 | 12.8 | 0.18 | Grade change 0.18\%-0.14\% | 5 | 277.2 | 3.86 |
|  | $230+00$ | Grade change 0.14\%-0.10\% | 28 | 10.8 | 0.17 | Grade change 0.14\% - 0.10\% | 6 | 357.2 | 5.50 |
|  | $246+00$ | Size change: $28{ }^{\prime \prime}-26^{\prime \prime}$ | 28/26 | 8.9 | 0.17 |  | 6 | 357.2 | 7.00 |
|  | 260+00 | Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.16 \%$ | 26 | 11.2 | 0.22 | Grade change: 0.10\%-0.16\% | 5 | 296.3 | 5.89 |
|  | 262+00 | Size change: $26^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ | $26 / 24$ | 9.1 | 0.19 |  | 5 | 296.3 | 6.23 |
|  | 266+00 | Size change: $24^{\prime \prime}-22^{\prime \prime}$ | $24 / 22$ | 7.2 | 0.17 |  | 5 | 296.3 | 6.94 |
|  | 270+00 | Size change: $22^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | 22120 | 7.1 | 0.17 | Grade change: $0.16 \%-0.26 \%$ | 6 | 576.0 | 13.60 |
|  | 279+00 | Size change: $20^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime \prime}$ | $20 / 18$ | 5.4 | 0.14 |  | 6 | 576.0 | 14.81 |
|  | 284+00 | Size change: $18^{\prime \prime}-16^{\prime \prime}$ | 18/16 | 3.9 | 0.13 |  | 6 | 576.0 | 19.55 |
|  | $286+00$ | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 16 | 3.3 | 0.11 | Grade change: $0.26 \%-0.18 \%$ | 6 | 479.3 | 16.34 |
|  | $308+00$ | Grade change: $0.18 \%-0.10 \%$ | 16 | 2.4 | 0.10 | Grade change: 0.18\%-0.10\% | 7 | 513.5 | 20.50 |
|  | $313+00$ | Size change: $16^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ | 16/15 | 2.0 | 0.09 |  | 7 | 513.5 | 22.91 |
|  | $318+00$ | Size change: $15^{\prime \prime}-14^{\prime \prime}$ | 15/14 | 1.7 | 0.10 |  | 7 | 513.5 | 30.81 |
|  | 327+00 | Size change: $14^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ | 14/12 | 1.1 | 0.07 |  | 7 | 513.5 | 32.96 |
|  | $339+00$ | Size change: $12^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | $12 / 10$ | 0.7 | 0.06 |  | 7 | 513.5 | 44.77 |
|  | $341+00$ | Size change: 10" - 8" | 1018 | 0.4 | 0.04 |  | 6 | 357.2 | 36.95 |
|  | $343+00$ | Size change: $8^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ | $8 / 7$ | 0.3 | 0.03 |  | 6 | 357.2 | 37.19 |
|  | $347+00$ | Size change: $7^{\prime \prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$, Grade change: $0.10 \%-0.48 \%$ | 7/6 | 0.4 | 0.05 | Grade change: 0.10\%-0.48\% | 6 | 782.6 | 96.62 |
|  | $351+00$ | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ | 6 | 0.5 | 0.07 | Grade change: $0.48 \%-0.90 \%$ | 5 | 702.8 | 91.35 |
|  | $354+00$ | End of Main tile | 6 |  |  | End of Open Ditch | 4 |  |  |



Note: Per lowa Code, road crossings (highlighted red) are not typically district expense



Note: Per lowa Code, road crossings (highlighted red) are not typically district expense

By: J.V.S.
Date: 1/28/2019
Checked By: L.O.G.
Date: 2/4/2019

## Engineer's Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Project: Dual Tile Upsizing for D.D. \#56
Location: Sections 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \& 17 T87N, R22W Hardin County, Iowa

| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | Unit Cost | Units | Quantity | Units |  | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301 | 60" CMP TILE OUTLET | \$ 110.00 | LF | 80 | LF | \$ | 8,800.00 |
| 302 | $54^{\prime \prime}$ TRIPLE WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 150.00 | LF | 2160 | LF | \$ | 324,000.00 |
| 303 | 42" TRIPLE WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 90.00 | LF | 24460 | EA | \$ | 2,201,400.00 |
| 304 | 36" TRIPLE WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 75.00 | LF | 9270 | EA | \$ | 695,250.00 |
| 305 | 30" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 60.00 | LF | 12270 | EA | \$ | 736,200.00 |
| 306 | 27" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 50.00 | LF | 1400 | LF | \$ | 70,000.00 |
| 307 | 24" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 40.00 | LF | 12100 | LF | \$ | 484,000.00 |
| 308 | 18" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 30.00 | LF | 3100 | LF | \$ | 93,000.00 |
| 309 | 15" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 27.50 | LF | 600 | EA | \$ | 16,500.00 |
| 310 | 12" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 25.00 | LF | 800 | LF | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 311 | 10" DUAL WALL PPE or RCP TILE | \$ 22.50 | LF | 600 | LF | \$ | 13,500.00 |
| 312 | 42" TILE - JACK AND BORE (RAILROAD) | \$ 1,200.00 | LF | 200 | LF | \$ | 240,000.00 |
| 313 | $54 " \times 42$ REDUCER | \$ 3,250.00 | EA | 2 | EA | \$ | 6,500.00 |
| 314 | 42 " $\times 36 "$ REDUCER | \$ 2,500.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 314 | 42 " $\times 30$ " REDUCER | \$ 2,500.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 315 | 36" x 30" REDUCER | \$ 2,000.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 316 | $30^{\prime \prime} \times 27$ " REDUCER | \$ 1,800.00 | EA | 2 | EA | \$ | 3,600.00 |
| 3.17 | 27" $\times 24$ " REDUCER | \$ 1,600.00 | EA | 2 | EA | $\$$ | 3,200.00 |
| 318 | 24" $\times 18^{\prime \prime}$ REDUCER | \$ 1,400.00 | EA | 2 | EA | \$ | 2,800.00 |
| 319 | $18^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$ REDUCER | \$ 1,000.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| 320 | 18 " $\times 12$ " REDUCER | \$ 800.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 800.00 |
| 321 | $15^{\prime \prime} \times 12$ " REDUCER | \$ 600.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 600.00 |
| 322 | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ REDUCER | \$ 400.00 | EA | 2 | EA | \$ | 800.00 |
| 323 | FLOW EQUALIZATION STRUCTURE | \$10,000.00 | EA | 33 | EA | \$ | $330,000.00$ |
| 324 | 54" RODENT GUARD | \$ 1,500.00 | EA | 2 | EA | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| 325 | BANK STABILIZATION | \$ 50.00 | TON | 100 | TON | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 326 | HEADWALL REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT | \$25,000.00 | EA | 1 | EA | \$ | 25,000.00 |
| 327 | LATERAL TILE CONNECTIONS | \$ 1,000.00 | EA | 22 | EA | \$ | 22,000.00 |
| 328 | CONCRETE COLLAR | \$ 600.00 | EA | 3 | EA | \$ | 1,800.00 |
| 329 | PRIVATE TILE CONNECTIONS | \$ 500.00 | EA | 200 | EA | \$ | 100,000.00 |
| 330 | TILE LOCATION | \$ 150.00 | STA | 334.2 | STA | \$ | 50,130.00 |
| 331 | TILE ABANDONMENT | \$ 100.00 | LF | 100 | LF | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| 332 | TILE REMOVAL | \$ 5.00 | LF | 33420 | LF | \$ | 167,100.00 |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL <br> Contingency (10\%) |  |  |  | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,642,980.00 \\ 564,298.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION TOTAL <br> Engr. \& Const. Observation (20\%) |  |  |  | \$ | 6,207,278.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,241,455.60 |
|  |  | TOTAL COST |  |  |  | \$ | 7,448,733.60 |
| ROAD CROSSING CONSTRUCTION COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 333 | 42" TILE - JACK AND BORE (CO HWY S27) | \$ 1,200.00 | LF | 80 | LF | \$ | 96,000.00 |
| 334 | 54" TILE - OPEN CUT (230TH STREET) | \$ 200.00 | LF | 60 | LF | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| 335 | 42" TILE - OPEN CUT (G AVENUE) | \$ 130.00 | LF | 60 | LF | \$ | 7,800.00 |
| 336 | $36 "$ TILE - OPEN CUT (E AND D AVENUE AND 230TH STREET) | \$ 110.00 | LF | 130 | LF | \$ | 14,300.00 |
| 337 | $30^{\prime \prime}$ TILE - OPEN CUT (E AND D AVENUE AND 230TH STREET) | \$ 90.00 | LF | 130 | LF | \$ | 11,700.00 |
| 338 | TILE ABANDONMENT | \$ 100.00 | LF | 40 | LF | \$ | 4,000.00 |
| 339 | TILE REMOVAL | \$ 10.00 | LF | 190 | LF | \$ | 1,900.00 |
| 340 | HICKENBOTTOM INTAKE | \$ 2,000.00 | EA | 12 | EA | \$ | 24,000.00 |
| 341 | PERMANENT SEEDING AND WARRANTY | \$ 2,000.00 | LOC | 6 | LOC | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| 342 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | \$ 2,000.00 | LOC | 6 | LOC | \$ | 12,000.00 |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL <br> Contingency (15\%) |  |  |  | \$ | 195,700.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 29,355.00 |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION TOTAL <br> Engr. \& Const. Observation (25\%) |  |  |  | \$ | 225,055.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 56,263.75 |
|  |  | TOTAL COST |  |  |  | \$ | 281,318.75 |






Note: Per lowa Code, road crossings (highlighted red) are not typically district expense

## Drainage District:

## Repair Summary:

- Per direction of District Trustees and recommendations of previous repair summary, performed following on the Main tile located in the NW $1 / 4$ Section 24, Township 89 North, Range 21 West:
- First repair was in front of house at 1605 Georgetown Road. Excavated and found $18^{\prime \prime}$ VCP Main tile starting to collapse. Removed $8^{\prime}$ of VCP Main tile and replaced with $18^{\prime \prime}$ Dual Wall HDPE tile with rock bedding/backfill and concrete collar connections.
- Second repair was in front of house 1340 Georgetown Road. Excavated and found $18^{\prime \prime}$ Single Wall Main tile with intruding 2" PVC connection. Water service for house is directly over tile and sanitary sewer service for house is surrounded by concrete directly under tile. Removed $4^{\prime}$ of VCP Main tile and $4^{\prime} \pm$ of $2^{\prime \prime}$ PCV pipe. Replaced Main tile with $4^{\prime}$ of $18^{\prime \prime}$ Dual Wall HDPE tile placed in existing concrete collar along with rock backfill and concrete collar connections. Reconnected $2^{\prime \prime}$ PVC connection at $45^{\circ}$ angle with a $45^{\circ}$ $\times 2^{\prime \prime}$ bend and 4 ' of $2^{\prime \prime}$ PVC pipe.
- Called Jeremiah Silvey and left message, but never received return phone call.


## Contractor Time and Materials (spent while CGA was on-site):

See attached Tabulated Contractor Time and Materials Sheet.

## Additional Actions Recommended:

- The Main tile under Georgetown Road (downstream of first repair) is cracked and egged shaped. It should be monitored for signs of failure (i.e. sinkholes, restricted drainage, etc). If such signs are reported, it should be replaced. Alternatively, if any road rehabilitation occurs before this point in time, is should be replaced.
- Since the landowner at Monarch Pond never called back about the remaining tree south of the railroad, the District Trustees should either pursue a written agreement with him or remove the tree before it impairs tile flow again.

Drainage Work Order Request For Repair Hardin County


Repair labor, materials and equipment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Potential Wetlands?Yes-Repair existing tile only
$\square$ No-Repair and maintain tile

Repaired By: $\qquad$
Date:

Please send statement for services to:

Phone (641) 939-8111
Fax (641) 939-8245

Hardin County Auditor's Office
Attn: Tina Schlemme
1215 Edgington Ave, Suite 1
Eldora, IA 50627


Tabulated Contractor Time and Materials

| Date | Totals | $1 / 11 / 2019$ | $1 / 14 / 2019$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Workman (hrs.) | 58 | 30 | 28 |
| Mini Excavator (hrs.) | 22 | 15 | 7 |
| Dump Truck (hrs.) | 14.5 | 7.5 | 7 |
| $18{ }^{\text {1" Dual Wall HDPE (ft.) }}$ | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| Concrete Collars (ea.) | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 1" Clean Rock (tn.) | 3 | 3 |  |
| Road Stone (tn.) | 15 | 15 |  |
| Vac Truck (hrs.) | 7 |  | 7 |
| 2" PVC (ft.) | 2 |  | 2 |
| $45 \times 2$ bend (ea.) | 1 |  | 1 |
| Compresion Fitting (ea.) | 1 |  | 1 |

CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING
OBSERVATION REPORT


DATE:
DAYS OF WEEK:
SHEET NO.
$1 / 8 / 19$
s/m|o/W/T/F
$\qquad$

DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND MATERIAL USED FOR EACH OPERATION, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR/SUB NAME, ITEM NO. AND LOCATION SUnny, $38^{\circ}$, VERY y Windy From N. W.
 STand out cops* 3424 (End TV) e PVC Protruding Tap FOR NEw Hour Sump Pump.
 * 3384 , $\sqrt{2} 16^{\prime \prime}$ Clay, START TV.

CREA NOT ON SITE yer.

 Paper e the sump ovitiet. So crees leto


I Certify that the work described in this report was incorporated into this contract unless otherwise noted.



DATE:
DAYS OF WEEK:
SHEET NO.

$\qquad$

DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND MATERIAL USED FOR EACH OPERATION, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR/SUB NAME, ITEM NO. AND LOCATION CLOURY, CAum, $25^{\circ}$, PREOKTR For $35^{\circ}$
8:30 ARRINRA C JOB SUTE, 4 mAN CREW $t$ (2) mini ExCAUATORS, WITH BRKAKER AND

 Excauators. Dump Truke Auso ons Sitr For dire Remoual avo Rock import For Full drepth Rock deivenaty: Counpsso Tils e Gps*gy68. Ser Re Drawinvo on 1/8/19 Report.
 Tap was Cappra with A $24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{n} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ Pocir, 200. CREw is Hacwne Aury dirt spon Ann wise Fin corm Fuu dpport Rack Fore Drive.

 uniter Roao ano Forma whe crack on topor Tilk, But Tin is stu Rounv.
 Time makinc Room For Titk 18" hope Tile usko for Raparr. z:00: pouren I yp. OF Conc. From C. I. wim Fabric wure mesh For Collars. Carfuyy Couerea
 wrot Fure Truck Loan or $1^{\prime c}$ cyen Rock (ROAOStone). Haunch of Pipir was chompen.-
 AnD Vib. Compacten 2' LIETS OF ROAD STOw. 2:38P.M; 2NDLOAD OF I"RoADStonk ARRNURS. 3:30 CREW PARKS up EROUPMENT AND LEAVES

FutureAction: Repufce Tile unitir ceorgietown Road
(4) MAN CRFW, (2)mini ExCAUATOSS, VB. PWATE, BREAKER, DUMP TRUCK $7 / 2$ HRS (2)CONG COLAARS, wIRE MRSH, FARRIC, IYP. CONC.
(8') OF $18^{\prime \prime}$ HOPE DUAL WAU $\left(9712^{n}\right)$ LenG
(3) Ton In CIFAN BEDOING Rocik FOR HAUNGH AnO Sinis of hDPE.
(2) 15 Ton luads of Road Stone


Date Reviewed

BrEAKKIG up Frost whth Frost Tootm


Drrt is. Hhules Acway


## Simap pipr locatteo



## bineaker uska for frozon Grouad


old inmake beine Remaveo



Lackinc. dounsmeram From Simupppr Hore

Looking. upstream From stanopupr Location



## LOOKING: dCWNSTREAM IOT (2) SECTIONS WAS REMOVED



## LOOKING: UPSTREATM



Looking. downstrant, list The in pic. Removed


LOONNNG. downstream



Mowne: down HDPE FOR Conc. Counres

C.I. Tiauer Arrines 74


Pourinc: Coluars
(1)

Conc. Couars wirt $6^{\circ}$ cover in Drivecurty


## 1"RoAD Stown Fur Drpth



Vib Piatr Compaction of Roat Stavik



Compictine driveary

FINISHED TOB
(2)

CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING OBSERVATION REPORT

DATE:

DAYS OF WEEK:
SHEET NO.
$114 / 19$
$\qquad$ OF $\qquad$

## S mol|T|F|S

$1 \quad 0$

PROJECT NUMBER:
COUNTY, ROUTE, ROAD:

$$
6789.1
$$

DD $86 \%$
Location: Gearactown Ru. Tawa $\sqrt{\text { Autus }}$

DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND MATERIAL USED FOR EACH OPERATION, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR/SUB NAME, ITEM NO. ANDLOCATION Ceovay, FOGGy, $22^{\circ}$, FreshSncas, 1" 8:15, Pau Whwams crew ArRu's e Job Site, 4 mand criw, Vactruck, miwi Excavator. 8:35, stant Exposing Elec uTllity over Tile LNME To Be Reparro@ Protruving TAp Q GPS* 3424 ÓN N. SiOE OF Gropgitown Roma. LOTS OF SmAL Stontis ann $12^{\prime \prime}$ stowes.
9:00 VaC Truck is Funande is Leavinu To Dump. Cokusis ciackuz canth Minui Ex, To Exparte Fuice tume Anonne Tick.

 zero Cieprance. Whu Thy To pur some Styioroam Brtanien Twr and
 Sump Rump.
 Tile, Anp Reconvect.

 7) Hhe 4 mbun erimw, VACTruxk, mini Ex., Dump Truek wareh hink (4') $18^{n}$ HOPE DULL WAV
(3) Tow I"clean Rocx
(1) yos Conc.
(2) conc. Couprs, mesh, Farac

(4) ft of $2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{PrC} / 45^{\circ}$ Fimme, I Certify that the work described in this report was incorporated into this contract unless otherwise noted.


2: 45; CREW done Back Fiunvo Dirt, Thay HAVE 5 cu.yps dirt LEATOVER, Date Reviewed Users\Ryken Standard Forms and ProcedureslCompany Forms \Construction Engineering Observation Report (1)
Fuung Dump Truck with kxtra dirt. 3: 10. Crew Loado up machinios ando lieaves


## Criting samaneo



TAKING OFF SOD
(1)


## "PotiHole" fón utrumas


Bučar Compaction Backfice
(1)


$\%$



Taking out ond P.E. Tin.



## $3 / 4$ Corimes Rrany Fon Conve.






## Beopiug Roek








| Parcel Number | Owner | Benefited Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 891918200006 | Ainley, Joshua D \& Kandice C | 3.14 |
| 891907300003 | Bartling Land \& Livestock, Inc | 7.00 |
| 891907300002 | Bartling, James F \& Nancy K | 7.00 |
| 891907300004 | Bartling, James F \& Nancy K | 20.00 |
| 891907300005 | Bartling, James F \& Nancy K | 40.00 |
| 891917100003 | Bennett, Jayne A; Stalzer, Dennis L \& Johnson, Susan M | 12.00 |
| 891917100004 | Bennett, Jayne A; Stalzer, Dennis L \& Johnson, Susan M | 40.00 |
| 891917100005 | Bennett, Jayne A; Stalzer, Dennis L \& Johnson, Susan M | 7.00 |
| 891907400005 | Bonewitz, Michael Bonewitz, Beverly | 4.59 |
| 891917200008 | Ellingson, Chase B | 1.91 |
| 891918100002 | Enslin, Marcia | 40.00 |
| 891918200001 | Enslin, Marcia | 40.00 |
| 891918200003 | Enslin, Marcia | 32.86 |
| 891918200004 | Enslin, Marcia Enslin, Paul | 4.79 |
| 891908100013 | Flying Eagle, Inc | 1.80 |
| 891917200004 | Halter, William H Halter, Patty S | 7.35 |
| 891917200005 | Halter, William H Halter, Patty S | 5.25 |
| 891918400001 | Hansen Farms, LC | 33.00 |
| 891918400003 | Hansen Farms, LC | 10.00 |
| 15 | Hardin County Roads | - |
| 891918300003 | Holmgaard, Kent Horace - 1/2 Holmgaard, Kent Horace - Contract - 1/2 | 4.50 |
| 891918300006 | Holmgaard, Kent Horace - 1/2 Holmgaard, Kent Horace - Contract - 1/2 | 9.00 |
| 891907200003 | Ibeling, Will Ibeling, Jolene | 10.13 |
| 891907200006 | Ibeling, Will Ibeling, Jolene | 17.09 |
| 891907400001 | Ibeling, Will Ibeling, Jolene | 35.94 |
| 891907400003 | Ibeling, Will Ibeling, Jolene | 40.00 |
| 891917100001 | Ibeling, Will R Ibeling, Jolene D | 19.00 |
| 891908100007 | Ibeling, Will Robert Ibeling, Jolene Dianne | 22.76 |
| 891908200003 | Ibeling, Will Robert Ibeling, Jolene Dianne | 35.00 |
| 891908200007 | Ibeling, Will Robert Ibeling, Jolene Dianne | 6.00 |
| 891918300002 | Keninger, David Keninger, Barbara | 39.00 |
| 891917200003 | Keninger, David L Keninger, Barbara J | 28.70 |
| 891917200010 | Keninger, David L Keninger, Barbara J | 29.70 |
| 891907200005 | Kuper Family Living Trust | 7.00 |
| 891918100001 | Miller, Dorothy Ann | 25.55 |
| 891918100003 | Miller, Dorothy Ann | 20.25 |
| 891918200007 | Morton, John Brandt, Ashley | 0.34 |
| 891917400002 | Muller, Harold J Muller, Debra L | 2.00 |
| 891908400001 | Pieper, Brandon A | 40.00 |
| 891908400003 | Pieper, Brandon A | 37.00 |
| 891908400004 | Pieper, Brandon A | 38.50 |
| 891908400005 | Pieper, Brandon A | 10.29 |
| 891908400006 | Pieper, Brandon A Pieper, Lisette C | 8.80 |
| 891918100004 | Rameyer, Marie K Revocable Trust | 39.00 |
| 891907400002 | Rameyer, Marie K Revocable Trust, etal-1/2 Knipfel, Patricia K-1/3; Rameyer, Robert-1/6 | 39.00 |


| 891907400004 | Rameyer, Marie K Revocable Trust, etal-1/2 Knipfel, Patricia K-1/3; Rameyer, Robert-1/6 | 39.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 891918200002 | Rameyer, Randy M | 32.00 |
| 891908100012 | Smith, Kenneth E Smith, Mary C | 9.20 |
| 891908300001 | Smith, Kenneth E Smith, Mary C | 37.00 |
| 891908300002 | Smith, Kenneth E Smith, Mary C | 37.00 |
| 891918300001 | Stalzer, Mark A | 31.75 |
| 891917200007 | Thomas, Jacob R Ibeling, Janelle | 0.51 |
| 891908300003 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 39.24 |
| 891908300004 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 22.73 |
| 891908300005 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 17.27 |
| 891917200001 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 40.00 |
| 891917200002 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 2.00 |
| 891917200006 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 22.39 |
| 891917200009 | Vanderloo, Lance E - Trust | 0.19 |
| 59 |  | $1,212.52$ |


| Original Assessment |  | Per Acre |  | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 29.87 | \$ | 9.51 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 145.70 | \$ | 20.81 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 145.70 | \$ | 20.81 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 477.35 | \$ | 23.87 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 1,708.32 | \$ | 42.71 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 55.38 | \$ | 4.62 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 124.60 | \$ | 3.12 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 10.86 | \$ | 1.55 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 123.85 | \$ | 26.98 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 4.67 | \$ | 2.45 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 2,759.53 | \$ | 68.99 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 217.57 | \$ | 5.44 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 312.61 | \$ | 9.51 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 58.27 | \$ | 12.16 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 7.35 | \$ | 4.08 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 106.13 | \$ | 14.44 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 38.58 | \$ | 7.35 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 371.27 | \$ | 11.25 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 123.68 | \$ | 12.37 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 400.00 |  |  | DD 148 |
| \$ | 57.33 | \$ | 12.74 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 106.47 | \$ | 11.83 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 86.50 | \$ | 8.54 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 158.72 | \$ | 9.29 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 1,721.77 | \$ | 47.91 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 999.03 | \$ | 24.98 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 88.46 | \$ | 4.66 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 71.24 | \$ | 3.13 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 93.72 | \$ | 2.68 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 9.37 | \$ | 1.56 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 730.28 | \$ | 18.73 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 414.40 | \$ | 14.44 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 93.77 | \$ | 3.16 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 58.08 | \$ | 8.30 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 676.07 | \$ | 26.46 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 319.46 | \$ | 15.78 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 4.14 | \$ | 12.18 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 5.96 | \$ | 2.98 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 82.43 | \$ | 2.06 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 676.23 | \$ | 18.28 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 207.32 | \$ | 5.38 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 18.98 | \$ | 1.84 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 16.38 | \$ | 1.86 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 682.69 | \$ | 17.50 | DD 148 |
| \$ | 1,792.70 | \$ | 45.97 | DD 148 |


| $\$$ | 603.59 | $\$$ | 15.48 | DD 148 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 239.70 | $\$$ | 7.49 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 37.59 | $\$$ | 4.09 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 528.22 | $\$$ | 14.28 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 827.97 | $\$$ | 22.38 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 532.74 | $\$$ | 16.78 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 1.85 | $\$$ | 3.63 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 71.47 | $\$$ | 1.82 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 617.25 | $\$$ | 27.16 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 468.96 | $\$$ | 27.15 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 242.82 | $\$$ | 6.07 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 17.60 | $\$$ | 8.80 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 81.07 | $\$$ | 3.62 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | 0.16 | $\$$ | 0.84 | DD 148 |
| $\$$ | $20,663.78$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 4}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Legal |
| :---: |
| PARCEL A IN SW NE 18-89-19 |
| S490' SW FRL SW 7-89-19 |
| SW SW EXC S490' 7-89-19 |
| NE SW 7-89-19 |
| SE SW 7-89-19 |
| E20A W1/2 NW 17-89-19 |
| NE NW 17-89-19 |
| SE NW 17-89-19 |
| PARCEL "A" IN NW SE, S1/2 SE NE \& S1/2 SW NE 7-89-19 |
| PARCEL IN S1/2 NE (COM E1/4 COR W1144.1' POB N250.5' W405.8' S250.5' E399' POB) 17-89-19 |
| NE NW 18-89-19 |
| NW NE 18-89-19 |
| SW NE EX PARCEL A 18-89-19 |
| SE NE EX TRACT \& EX PARCEL B 18-89-19 |
| COM W1/4 COR N155' POB N368'NE322'S368' SW322'POB(PAR A \& B) 8-89-19 |
| COM E1/4 COR N1513.7 BEG N737' W472.7' S737' E472.7' BEG 17-89-19 |
| COM E1/4COR N855.7' BEG NW265' NW304.7' SW161.2' NW353.4' E472.7' S658' POB 17-89-19 |
| NW SE 18-89-19 |
| SW SE 18-89-19 |
| SW FRL SW EX TRACT 18-89-19 |
| SE SW EX TRACT 18-89-19 |
| S1/2 SW NE EX PART PARCEL "A" 7-89-19 |
| S1/2 SE NE EX PART PARCEL "A" 7-89-19 |
| NW SE EX PARCEL "A" 7-89-19 |
| SW SE 7-89-19 |
| W30A NW NW 17-89-19 |
| SE NW \& BEG NW COR E1/2 SW E80RDS S24' W80RDS N30' POB 8-89-19 |
| SW NE 8-89-19 |
| SE NE 8-89-19 |
| NE SW 18-89-19 |
| NE NE EX W1A \& EX TRACT 17-89-19 |
| SE NE EX 1A \& EX W117.5FT S369FT \& EX TRACT 17-89-19 |
| N1/2 SE NE 7-89-19 |
| NW FRL NW 18-89-19 |
| SW FRL NW 18-89-19 |
| PARCEL B IN SE NE 18-89-19 |
| NE SE 17-89-19 |
| NW SE 8-89-19 |
| SW SE 8-89-19 |
| SE SE 8-89-19 |
| NE SE EX 9.71A TR 8-89-19 |
| COM NE COR SE1/4 S884.7'POB S379.5' W1157'NE279.15' E1140.78' POB 8-89-19 |
| SE NW 18-89-19 |
| NE SE 7-89-19 |


| SE SE 7-89-19 |
| :--- |
| NE NE 18-89-19 |
| S13A SW NW EX 1.22A TR \& EX 1.05A TR 8-89-19 |
| NW SW 8-89-19 |
| SW SW 8-89-19 |
| NW SW 18-89-19 |
| COM E1/4COR W1543.1' BEG N378'W266'S378' E266'POB 17-89-19 |
| NE SW EX BEG NW COR E80RDS S24FT W TO PT. 30FT S OF BEG 8-89-19 |
| SE SW EX 17.27A TRACT 8-89-19 |
| BEG S1/4 COR N220.4' NW'LY 1534' S906' E1336.93' POB 8-89-19 |
| NW NE 17-89-19 |
| W 2 RODS E1/2 NE EX TR ON S 17-89-19 |
| SW NE EX 2 TRACTS 17-89-19 |
| E117.5' W150.5' N118.5' S369' SE NE 17-89-19 |

